ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M09650

Date Received: 10/11/12

Date Extracted: 10/12/12

Date Analyzed: 10/12/12

Matrix: Water

Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M09650, F&BI 210182 210182-01 $\times 10^{-1}$

Lab ID: Data File:

210182-01 x10.037

Instrument: Operator:

ICPMS1 AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

Zinc

% Recovery: 87

20.6

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)					
Chromium	284					
Nickel	282					
Copper	350					

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Date Received: Not Applicable Date Extracted: 10/12/12 Date Analyzed: 10/12/12

Matrix: Units:

Water ug/L (ppb) Client: Project:

Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M09650, F&BI 210182

Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:

I2-704 mb I2-704 mb.030 ICPMS1

Operator:

AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

Analyte:

% Recovery: 89

Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/16/12 Date Received: 10/11/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M09650, F&BI 210182

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 210142-08 x10 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	35.0	94 b	108 b	71-130	14 b
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	36.5	97 b	108 b	71-120	11 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	54.4	99 b	111 b	52-134	11 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	120	62 b	66 b	51-142	6 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	92	80-119
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	95	83-119
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	94	81-120
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	82-120

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- il The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

7) 3	e P	<u>*</u>	3		-			_							, he o	
77-07-08 (MAC) PRI	h. (384) 246-4263	math, WA 98119-3029	M.S. I dish Avenue West	riedman & Bruya, Inc.						**************************************			M 09650	Sang) b ID		City, State, TIP SEATTLE WA 98134 Phone # 206-571-6033 Pax # 206-387-4309	Company Alaskan (
Total &	Ratinguished by:	Received by:							•				01 '	Lab ID		77.E WA 233Fax#201	CORP.
	1	da		SIGNATURE				`					Mile	Dato		98134	Hompson St.
			V	æ									1230	Time		1 (
1		÷											CH	Sample Туре		REMARKS	PROJECT NAMEANO. METRO SELS MONITO
	8	D0 1/2	Series Vibraes	THEN THEN					v.					# of			NATURO. SECT MONTON
			Å.			 					3			TPH-Diesel			3
			۲_		i	i	· ·			· [TPH-Gasoline		1	וון אינאכ
						+							-	ETEX by 8621B			8
1						1			1	·		• • •		VOCs by 8260 SVOCs by 8270	2	907	
.	-	7	λ				-3-							HFS	ALY		M09650
Sa		木	Acr	Q									X	Ce Cu No Zu	8		PO#
Page	-	78 77	3	¥	•									<u> </u>	200		8
Samples received at	•	•		ANYAMO						7					MALYSES REQUESTED		
CCIV															3	4 7 D	
8	_					-	-			-	-		·			EAMS Dispose at Return se Will cell 1	
		17.	19/11	Ď	1	+	-			-						1112	1 0 E
H	5		1/2	DATE		1										SAMPLE DISPUSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions	URNAMOUND THAT
n			2	H										Notes		days	
		~	100	TOME:										· 8		ions &	हैं हैं।
· 1			۔ ک	1		1	1 1			1			1		П	1	H H

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

October 16, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on October 11, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M09650, F&BI 210182 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1016R.DOC